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Abstract: In the near future, a significant increase in electric power consumption in vehicles will occur. To limit the associated increase in fuel consumption and exhaust emissions, smart strategies for the generation, storage/retrieval, distribution, and consumption of electric power will be used. This paper deals with an advanced power net with two storage devices: a lead-acid battery and a super capacitor, whose advantages can be combined. Two methods to control such a power net are presented: global optimization using full knowledge of the future driving pattern and an online implementable strategy doing without future knowledge. Both methods involve mixed integer optimization. The performance of the real-time strategy is close to the global optimum.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The electric power consumption in standard road vehicles has increased significantly over the past twenty years (approximately four percent every year) and in the near future, even higher power demands are expected (Nicastri and Huang, 2000).

To handle future power demands, the automobile industry has proposed a new 42V power net topology which should replace the traditional 14V power net from present vehicles (Kassakian et al., 2000; Nicastri and Huang, 2000). Although these advanced power nets will be able to meet tomorrow’s power requirements, a problem that arises is how to control the power net, in order to reduce the fuel consumption of the vehicle.

Continuing on previous work (De Jager, 2003; Koot et al., 2004), that focus on vehicles with one storage device, this paper considers an advanced power net as proposed in (Sebille, 2003) with two storage devices: a lead-acid battery and a super capacitor. The reason for using two storage devices is to combine their advantages. The supercap seems especially suitable for storing peak powers that occur during regenerative braking.

Two methods to control such a power net are presented: global optimization using knowledge of the complete driving cycle and an online implementable strategy doing without future knowledge.

This paper is built up as follows: The vehicle power net topology will be described and analyzed in Section 2. In Section 3, the energy management control problem is formulated. The system is modeled in detail in Section 4. A global optimization method will be presented in Section 5 and a real-time control strategy in Section 6. Their performance will be compared by simulations in Section 7. Conclusions are given in Section 8.
2. DUAL STORAGE POWER NET

This paper considers a conventional vehicle with manual transmission. It is assumed that the vehicle speed and the gear ratio are controlled by the driver, such that the engine speed and the mechanical power needed for propulsion are predefined as well as the electric load request.

The electric part of the vehicle is an advanced dual storage power net, consisting of a generator, a switch, a battery, a supercap and a DC-DC converter. The generator and the DC-DC converter are power controlled.

The reason for using two storage devices is to combine their advantageous properties, see also (Baisden and Emadi, 2004) for a comparison.

The battery has a large capacity and small energy leakage over time. However, the losses during charging and discharging and battery wear are large, especially when using high powers.

The open cell voltage of the battery is linear with the energy level but with a large offset. Because of this offset, the battery can be operated between 20% and 100% state of charge (SOC) while maintaining an acceptable board net voltage.

The supercap has a smaller capacity, but the charge and discharge losses are also much smaller. This makes the supercap advantageous to use for high peak powers. A supercap has considerable energy leakage, so it is not suitable for long term storage.

The open cell voltage of the supercap is linear with the energy level. If the supercap is connected directly to the board net, it can only be operated within a small SOC window while maintaining an acceptable board net voltage. By connecting it to the board net using a DC-DC converter, it can be used in its full range.

2.1 Power flow

The power flow in the vehicle is shown in Fig. 1. The power flow in the vehicle starts with fuel that goes into the internal combustion engine (ICE) which converts it into mechanical power. One part goes to the drive train (DT) for vehicle propulsion, whereas the other part goes to the generator (GEN) which converts it into electric power.

The generator is connected to a switching device (S) that divides the electric generator power between the supercap (C) and the battery (B). The switch can be a discrete switch or a continuous power divider. The battery and the supercap are connected to a DC-DC converter (DC). The loads are connected to the battery.

There are three control variables: the electric generator power $P_e$, the power through the DC-DC converter $P_{dc}$ and the position of the switch $s$.

Independently from the position of the switch, the electric energy provided by the generator is equal to the sum of the powers to the load, the battery, and the supercap, and the power losses of the DC-DC converter.

2.2 Analysis

The possible benefits of a dual storage net are analyzed for the two positions of the switch.

Case $s = 0$

The generator is connected to the battery and the loads. This is the baseline situation. Additionally, the supercap can be charged and discharged through the DC-DC converter. Doing so is only beneficial if the losses of the supercap and the DC-DC converter together are smaller than the battery losses.
The generator is connected to the supercap, so the supercap can be charged directly. The loads can be provided by the battery or by the combination of generator and DC-DC converter. It is only beneficial to use the switch in this position if the power that is stored in the supercap is larger than the power requested by the loads, because then, the losses of the DC-DC converter are smaller in this direction.

3. CONTROL OBJECTIVE AND CONSTRAINTS

The intention of energy management is to improve the fuel economy of the vehicle, so the control objective is to minimize the overall fuel consumption while satisfying several constraints. This can be described as an optimization problem:

$$\begin{align*}
\min_x & \ J(x) \\
\text{subject to} & \ G(x) \leq 0 
\end{align*}$$

The cost function $J$ expresses the fuel use over a driving cycle as function of the design variables $x$. This way, the characteristics of all components can be combined into a single cost function over a time interval $[0, t_e]$:

$$J(x) = \int_0^{t_e} P_f(x(t)) \, dt$$

For optimization it might be beneficial to choose the design variables $x$ different from the control variables and to compute the corresponding values of the control variables afterwards.

The operating range of the components is limited, so bounds have to be set on their power and energy levels. To prevent the storage devices from being drained, endpoint constraints on the state of charge can be used.

4. MODELING

The dual storage power net control problem has been described as an optimization problem. Here, the relation between the design variables and the cost function is described which is needed to compute the solution.

The dynamics are modeled in discrete time. The component losses are modeled using piecewise linearities. This way, the problem can be written as a linear programming problem:

$$\begin{align*}
\min_x & \ h^T x \\
\text{subject to} & \ Ax \leq b 
\end{align*}$$

which can be solved efficiently.

Fig. 2. Battery characteristics

A similar approach has been applied for a series hybrid vehicle in (Tate and Boyd, 2000).

Piecewise linear function can be used within an LP framework by adding secondary variables $y$ together with inequality constraints (Murty, 1983).

Piecewise linearities of the form:

$$x = \max(a_i y_i + b_i) \quad i = 1, \ldots, m$$

can be incorporated in a LP as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
\min_x & \ h^T x \\
\text{subject to} & \ x \geq a_i y_i + b_i
\end{align*}$$

If the objective is monotonically increasing with $x$, so $h > 0$, $x$ will always be pushed on one of the constraints.

4.1 Components

The battery can be modeled as follows:

$$P_b = \max(b^-, P_{bs}, b^+ P_{bs})$$

where $P_b$ represents the power entering or leaving the battery terminals, and $P_{bs}$ represents the power actually stored in the battery. A typical curve is shown in Fig. 2. The losses are positive both during charging and discharging, so $b^+ > 1$ and $0 < b^- < 1$.

The model for the supercap is similar:

$$P_c = \max(c^-, P_{cs}, c^+ P_{cs})$$

The DC-DC converter is modeled likewise:

$$P_{db} = \max(d^-, P_{dc}, d^+ P_{dc})$$

where $P_{db} > 0$ means power is going from the battery to the supercap.

The characteristic of the generator is given by a nonlinear relation, that can be approximated linearly for given $\omega$.
$P_g = g(P_e, \omega) \approx g_0(\omega) + g_1 P_e$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$g_0$ is caused by friction and $g_1$ is the inverse of the conversion efficiency, so $g_0 > 0$ and $g_1 > 1$.

The characteristics of the fuel converter is given by the following relation:

$P_f = f(P_m, \omega)$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $P_m$ is the mechanical power. A typical curve for a given engine speed $\omega$ is shown in Fig. 3.

Because the fuel map is convex, it can be described using $m$ linear approximations, such that:

$P_f \approx \max(f_0 + f_{11}P_m, \ldots, f_0 + f_{1m}P_m)$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

### 4.2 Power flow

The mechanical power $P_m$ is given by:

$P_m = P_p + P_g + P_{br}$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $P_{br}$ is the power of the friction brakes.

$P_{m_{min}}(\omega)$ is the friction in the engine at zero fuel use. At moments where:

$P_p < P_{m_{min}} - g_0$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

electric power can be generated without fuel use. This is called regenerative braking. It is expected that the friction brakes are only used when:

$P_p < P_{m_{min}} - P_g_{max}$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The equation for the electric power flow becomes:

$P_e = P_{eb} + P_{ec}$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$= P_t + P_b + P_e + P_{db} - P_{dc}$

### 4.3 Design variables

Suppose the primary design variables are:

$x_1 = [P_{bs} P_{cs} P_{dc}]^T$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The piecewise linear component models and the linear power flow equations can be incorporated by introducing the following secondary design variables:

$x_2 = [P_b P_c P_{db} P_{eb} P_{ec} P_g P_m P_{br} P_f]^T$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

If optimization is done over a horizon of $n$ time steps, the variables are vectors with length $n$, so the total number of design variables is $13n$.

### 4.4 Cost function

The cost function is the fuel consumption over a driving cycle with length $t_e = n \Delta t$:

$J(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_f(i) \Delta t$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

### 4.5 Constraints

The power flow equations are incorporated as linear equality constraints:

$P_{eb} = P_l + P_b + P_{db}$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

$P_{ec} = P_c - P_{dc}$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

$P_e = P_{eb} + P_{ec}$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

$P_m = P_p + P_g + P_{br}$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

The piecewise linear component models are incorporated using the following constraints:

$P_b \geq b^{\pm} P_{bs}$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

$P_c \geq c^{\pm} P_{cs}$  \hspace{27} (24)

$P_{db} \geq d^{\pm} P_{dc}$  \hspace{27} (25)

$P_g = g_0 + g_1 P_e$  \hspace{27} (26)

$P_f \geq f_0 + f_{11} P_m$  \hspace{27} (27)

The physical limitations of the components are incorporated using upper and lower bounds on the design variables.

$x_{min} \leq x \leq x_{max}$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

The constraints on the energy storage levels are incorporated using a discrete linear integrator model:
\[ E_{ss}(k) = E_{ss}(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} P_{ss}(i) \Delta t \tag{29} \]

The endpoint constraints then become:

\[ E_{ss}(n) = E_{ss}(0) \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{ss}(i) = 0 \tag{30} \]

4.6 Complementarity constraint

The discrete switch can be modeled by adding a complementarity constraint:

\[ P_{eb} \cdot P_{ec} = 0 \tag{31} \]

The LP problem with complementarity constraint for the discrete switch can be translated to a mixed integer LP problem by introducing a binary variable \( s \in \{0, 1\} \) and adding the following linear constraints:

\[ 0 \leq P_{eb} \leq (1 - s) P_{eb\max} \tag{32} \]
\[ 0 \leq P_{ec} \leq s P_{ec\max} \tag{33} \]

Note that \( s = 0 \) yields that \( P_{ec} = 0 \) and \( s = 1 \) yields that \( P_{eb} = 0 \), which corresponds with the two positions of the switch.

5. GLOBAL OPTIMIZATION

For the continuous switch, the optimization problem can be solved with a standard LP solver. The problem is very sparse, so despite the large number of design variables, the computation time remains short.

For the discrete switch, a mixed integer LP solver can be used, e.g., a branch and bound algorithm. The computation time of mixed integer solvers increases drastically with the number of discrete variables, because the algorithms evaluate many combinations of these variables. This makes mixed integer optimization not suitable for optimization over a long horizon.

A suboptimal mixed integer solution can be obtained as follows. First the problem is solved for the continuous switch. Then the position of the switch is rounded off to boolean values. The continuous optimization is repeated, but with the upper bounds modified according to (32) and (33).

6. REALTIME CONTROL STRATEGY

A control strategy is derived that can be used in real time. It is similar to the method for a single storage system as presented in (Koot et al., 2004).

\[ \min_{x} J(x) = P_f - \lambda_b P_{bes} - \lambda_c P_{ces} \]  

At each time instant, a trade off is made between the increase in fuel and the increase in SOC. All other constraints still apply.

For the discrete switch, the optimization has to be carried out twice at each time instant. Once with \( P_{eb} = 0 \) and once with \( P_{ec} = 0 \). The solution with the lowest cost function value is then selected.

The factors \( \lambda_b \) and \( \lambda_c \) represent the average fuel costs to store energy in the battery and the supercap. They can be chosen a priori or estimated online. A good indication for their values are the Lagrange multipliers of the equality constraints of the global optimization problem.

With this strategy, the SOC at the end will differ from the beginning. The changes in SOC are accounted for in the fuel consumption using the same values for \( \lambda_b \) and \( \lambda_c \).

7. SIMULATIONS

7.1 Model

Simulations are done for a mid-sized vehicle, of which a detailed description can be found in (Koot et al., 2004). The specifications of the electric components are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Power limits</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>1000 kJ</td>
<td>2 kW</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supercap</td>
<td>100 kJ</td>
<td>5 kW</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC-DC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 kW</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generator</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 kW</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simulations are done for the last 600 seconds of the NEDC cycle, of which the velocity profile is shown in Fig. 4. The electric load is kept constant at 1000 W.
7.2 Results

The following strategies are implemented:

- **BL1** A baseline strategy that does not use the storage devices, so the generator power is equal to the load.
- **BL2** A baseline strategy that only uses the battery.
- **LP1** Continuous LP optimization of the complete cycle.
- **LP2** Sub-optimal mixed integer LP optimization of the complete cycle.
- **RT** The realtime strategy.

The SOC trajectories for the LP2 and RT strategies are shown in Fig. 5. Fig. 6 shows the electric power and the position of the switch. The switch is used when much electric power is generated during deceleration. The battery is only used at the braking period at the end, when the supercap hits its boundary.

The power levels change rapidly. This is typical for LP since the optimal solution will always lie at a constraint. Smoother behavior can be obtained by adding rate limiting constraints, or by using quadratic terms for the losses, resulting in a QP.

The fuel saving percentages with respect to the BL1 strategy are given in Table 2. The absolute numbers are the savings on the total fuel consumption. The relative numbers are the savings on the fuel needed for the electric power. Because there is no control freedom in the drive train and the fuel map is monotonically increasing, the fuel consumption needed for propulsion is fixed.

![Fig. 5. State of charge](image1)

![Fig. 6. Electric power and switch position](image2)

Although the SOC trajectories of LP2 and RT show large deviations, the difference in fuel consumption is small. The resulting trajectories of the RT strategy are very sensitive for the choice of $\lambda$.

8. CONCLUSIONS

Simulations show that the control strategies are working and that the performance of the realtime strategy is close to the optimal one. The dual storage power net gives a significant improvement in fuel reduction over the single storage net.

The approach can be extended to a hybrid electric vehicle, where the energy obtained with regenerative braking can be used for starting the engine and propulsion.

LP shows to be a powerful tool for this application. Because of its simple structure, the method is easy to apply on other topologies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Saving [%]</th>
<th>Absolute</th>
<th>Relative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BL2</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>20.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP1</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>33.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP2</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>32.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>32.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Fuel Savings

Although the SOC trajectories of LP2 and RT show large deviations, the difference in fuel consumption is small. The resulting trajectories of the RT strategy are very sensitive for the choice of $\lambda$.

8. CONCLUSIONS

Simulations show that the control strategies are working and that the performance of the realtime strategy is close to the optimal one. The dual storage power net gives a significant improvement in fuel reduction over the single storage net.

The approach can be extended to a hybrid electric vehicle, where the energy obtained with regenerative braking can be used for starting the engine and propulsion.

LP shows to be a powerful tool for this application. Because of its simple structure, the method is easy to apply on other topologies.
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